

PATENT

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

<b>Inventor:</b>	<b>Ms. Gambla, Eve</b>
<b>Filing Date:</b>	<b>03/03/2004</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>Male Toilet Spray Shield</b>
<b>Serial No.:</b>	<b>10/792,235</b>
<b>Examiner:</b>	<b>Mr. Fetsuga, Robert M.</b>
<b>Group Art Unit No.:</b>	<b>3751</b>

January 25, 2007

**PROPOSED ELECTION AND RESPONSE**

This is in an Election in response to the Restriction Requirement, Mailing Date 01/05/2006, in which the Examiner has required the Applicant to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held allowable. Currently the Examiner has found that Claim One is considered generic. Here, the Examiner found four patentably distinct species in the instant patent application: Species I [Figures 1 and 2]; Species II [Figure 3]; Species III [Figures 4]; and Species IV [Figure 5].

Applicant wishes to respond to that Office Action as follows:

**ELECTION**

Applicant wishes to elect Species I [Figures 1 and 2], for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held